MONTANA LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

Chapter 26 ~~19~~ 2001

Bill H 37 S _____ Original bill & history ✓ C

H. Committee on Taxation			S. Committee on Taxation		
Hearing Date(s)	1-10	✓ C	Hearing Date(s)	2-6	✓ C
	1-19	✓ C			C
		C			C
		C			C
Date Out		C			

Did this bill originate in an interim committee? ____Yes ____No

Committee _____ Report _____

1/30	Hearing		
1/30	Committee Executive Action--Bill Concurred	9	0
1/30	Committee Report--Bill Concurred		
1/31	2nd Reading Concurred on Voice Vote	47	0
2/01	Fiscal Note Requested		
2/01	3rd Reading Concurred	45	0
	Returned to House		
2/02	Sent to Enrolling		
2/02	Returned from Enrolling		
2/03	Signed by Speaker		
2/05	Signed by President		
2/05	Transmitted to Governor		
2/06	Fiscal Note Received		
2/14	Signed by Governor		
2/14	Chapter Number Assigned		
	Chapter Number 11		
	Effective Date: 2/14/2001 - All Sections		

HB 37 Introduced by Witt *LC0357 Drafter: Martin*

Revise Mill Levy Certification

By Request of Department of Revenue

12/04	Introduced		
12/26	Referred to Taxation		
1/10	Hearing		
1/19	Committee Executive Action--Bill Passed as Amended	20	0
1/19	Committee Report--Bill Passed as Amended		
1/22	2nd Reading Passed	96	4
1/23	3rd Reading Passed	97	3
	Transmitted to Senate		
1/24	Referred to Taxation		
2/06	Hearing		
2/06	Committee Executive Action--Bill Concurred	9	0
2/06	Committee Report--Bill Concurred		
2/10	2nd Reading Concurred on Voice Vote	43	0
2/12	3rd Reading Concurred	50	0
	Returned to House		
2/15	Sent to Enrolling		
2/16	Returned from Enrolling		
2/16	Signed by Speaker		
2/20	Signed by President		
2/20	Transmitted to Governor		
3/01	Signed by Governor		
3/01	Chapter Number Assigned		
	Chapter Number 26		
	Effective Date: 7/01/2001 - All Sections		

HB 38 Introduced by Schmidt *LC0097 Drafter: Fox*

Purchase State Surplus Vehicles for Participants in State TANF-funded Programs

By Request of Children, Families, Health, and Human Services Interim Committee

8/22	Fiscal Note Needed
12/05	Introduced
12/10	Fiscal Note Requested
12/26	Referred to State Administration

HOUSE BILL NO. 37

INTRODUCED BY J. WITT

BY REQUEST OF THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT REVISING THE REQUIREMENTS FOR REPORTING CERTIFIED TAXABLE VALUE BY THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE TO LOCAL TAXING AUTHORITIES; ELIMINATING THE REQUIREMENT THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE REPORT CERTIFIED MILLAGE RATES; AMENDING SECTIONS 7-6-2522 AND 15-10-202, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

Section 1. Section 7-6-2522, MCA, is amended to read:

"7-6-2522. All-purpose levy -- maximum. (1) The all-purpose levy is an annual levy upon the taxable value of all property in the county subject to taxation for county purposes in lieu of the levies specified in 7-6-2523. Subject to 15-10-420, the all-purpose levy may not exceed the lesser of:

(a) 55 mills on the dollar; or

(b) the total number of mills levied in the prior year pursuant to the levies set forth in 7-6-2523 ~~as certified by the department of revenue under 15-10-202~~.

(2) If the county governing body determines that the interests of the county would be served by an all-purpose levy, it shall specify its intent to impose the all-purpose levy in the resolution approving and adopting the annual budget."

Section 2. Section 15-10-202, MCA, is amended to read:

"15-10-202. Certification of taxable values ~~and millage rates~~. By the second Monday in July, the department shall certify to each taxing authority the total taxable value within the jurisdiction of the taxing authority. The department shall also send to each taxing authority a written statement of its best estimate of the total ~~assessed~~ taxable value of ~~all new construction and improvements not included on the previous property tax record and the value of deletions from the previous property tax record. Exclusive of new construction, improvements, and deletions, the department shall certify to each taxing authority a millage rate that will provide the same ad valorem revenue for each taxing authority as was levied during the prior year. For the purpose of calculating the certified millage, the department shall use 95% of the taxable value~~

~~appearing on the property tax record, exclusive of properties appearing for the first time in the property tax record~~ newly taxable property, as described in 15-10-420(3)."

NEW SECTION. **Section 3. Effective date.** [This act] is effective July 1, 2001.

- END -

HB 16 SPONSOR: Somerville, Roger SHORT TITLE: Increase accomodations tax for heritage tourism projects
BY REQUEST OF: Governor
BY REQUEST OF: Montana Historical Society
REFERRED ON: 12/28/2000 HEARD ON: 01/23/2001
EXECUTIVE ACTION: 03/08/2001 -Bill Passed as Amended -- VOTE: 16 - 4
FINAL DISPOSITION: 04/26/2001 (H) Died in Standing Committee

HB 23 SPONSOR: Erickson, Ron SHORT TITLE: Generally revise local government debt limits and certain bonding provisions
BY REQUEST OF: Revenue And Taxation Interim Committee
REFERRED ON: 12/26/2000 HEARD ON: 01/09/2001
EXECUTIVE ACTION: 01/15/2001 -Bill Passed -- VOTE: 20 - 0
FINAL DISPOSITION: 03/02/2001 Chapter Number Assigned

HB 24 SPONSOR: Erickson, Ron SHORT TITLE: Revise debt limits of public schools
BY REQUEST OF: Revenue And Taxation Interim Committee
REFERRED ON: 12/26/2000 HEARD ON: 01/09/2001
EXECUTIVE ACTION: 01/15/2001 -Bill Passed -- VOTE: 20 - 0
FINAL DISPOSITION: 02/14/2001 Chapter Number Assigned

HB 36 SPONSOR: Gallik, Dave SHORT TITLE: Revise cabin site lease valuation appeal
BY REQUEST OF: State Tax Appeal Board
REFERRED ON: 12/26/2000 HEARD ON: 01/11/2001
EXECUTIVE ACTION: 01/11/2001 -Bill Passed -- VOTE: 20 - 0
FINAL DISPOSITION: 02/14/2001 Chapter Number Assigned

HB 37 SPONSOR: Witt, John SHORT TITLE: Revise mill levy certification
BY REQUEST OF: Department Of Revenue
REFERRED ON: 12/26/2000 HEARD ON: 01/10/2001
EXECUTIVE ACTION: 01/19/2001 -Bill Passed as Amended -- VOTE: 20 - 0
FINAL DISPOSITION: 03/01/2001 Chapter Number Assigned

MINUTES

MONTANA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
57th LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION
COMMITTEE ON TAXATION

Call to Order: By **CHAIRMAN BOB STORY**, on January 10, 2001 at 8:00 A.M., in Room 472 Capitol.

ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Rep. Bob Story, Chairman (R)
Rep. Ron Erickson, Vice Chairman (D)
Rep. Joan Andersen (R)
Rep. Keith Bales (R)
Rep. Joe Balyeat (R)
Rep. Gary Branae (D)
Rep. Eileen Carney (D)
Rep. Larry Cyr (D)
Rep. Rick Dale (R)
Rep. Ronald Devlin (R)
Rep. John Esp (R)
Rep. Gary Forrester (D)
Rep. Daniel Fuchs (R)
Rep. Verdell Jackson (R)
Rep. Jesse Laslovich (D)
Rep. Trudi Schmidt (D)
Rep. Butch Waddill (R)
Rep. Karl Waitschies (R)
Rep. David Wanzenried (D)

Members Excused: Rep. Roger Somerville, Vice Chairman (R)

Members Absent: None.

Staff Present: Jeff Martin, Legislative Branch
Rhonda Van Meter, Committee Secretary

Please Note: These are summary minutes. Testimony and discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

Committee Business Summary:

Hearing(s) & Date(s) Posted: HB37, 1/4/2001
Executive Action: None

CHAIRMAN STORY announced that HB 149 is being postponed, so that bill will not be heard today.

Kurt Alme, Director, Montana Department of Revenue, gave an informational presentation to the committee regarding general impacts of the new economy, which includes technology, and what the Department can possibly perceive as stresses put on the tax system. **EXHIBIT(1)**

HEARING ON HB 37

Sponsor: **REPRESENTATIVE JOHN WITT, HD 89, Carter**

Proponents: **Dolores Cooney, Department of Revenue**
Gordon Morris, Montana Association of Counties
Mary Whittinghill, Montana Taxpayers Association

Opponents: None.

Opening Statement by Sponsor:

{Tape : 1; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 18.5}

REPRESENTATIVE JOHN WITT said this bill eliminates the requirement that the Department of Revenue certify the prior year mill levies to taxing jurisdictions. The bill updates the code regarding local governments needing to establish their mill levies in compliance with the appropriate statutes. It takes out the confusing language referring to 95% of the taxable value and the specific calculations the Department of Revenue to make for local governments that are not needed.

Proponents' Testimony:

{Tape : 1; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 21}

Dolores Cooney, Department of Revenue, stated this is a Department of Revenue cleanup bill removing some out-of-date language from the statute referring to the information the Department certifies to local government school districts.

Gordon Morris, Director, Montana Association of Counties, supports the bill because it does clean up some of the statute, particularly the certification requirements of the 95% calculation the Department has done annually since approximately 1974 that is confusing as to what is exactly intended. He had a suggestion to the committee and sponsor bearing on the bill in Section 2 by striking the language relative to new construction

and substituting 15-10-420. One of the problems in 15-10-420 subsection 3 is that they try to break out new construction that has occurred since the Department last certified the values because local governments are benefitted by being able to assess taxes against that property. He asked the committee to address the definition regarding centrally assessed property. His concern lies with the definition of new construction and asks that it be tidied up.

Mary Whittinghill, Montana Taxpayers Association, said they are in support of a portion of the bill. This is the clarification under Section 2 regarding the Department's requirement of certifying that taxable value to local jurisdictions. This section because of 15-10-420 really does not hold much weight and does not need to take place. She agrees with Gordon Morris in determining a new definition for newly taxable property under 15-10-420. They are probably bringing forward legislation this session to clarify that definition. Not only was there a problem with reclassification, there was also a significant problem with what is determined revaluation caused by centrally assessed properties. Centrally assessed properties are revalued every year. The Department certified a value without regard to the component of revaluation and the component the local government should have received as an actual growth in property. They believe there needs to be some work on the definition under 15-10-420.

Opponents' Testimony: None.

Questions from Committee Members and Responses:

{Tape : 1; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 29}

REPRESENTATIVE FUCHS asked if this bill were passed without the amendment to the definition if it would be supported. **Mary Whittinghill** replied that it would if they did come forth with other legislation to clarify that definition. Because counties are required to calculate exclusive of newly taxable value, the mill levies increase significantly to the taxpayers. They will be working with the counties to see if an adjustment can be made in the future to take of the problem that arose in tax year 2000. If the definition is clarified by other legislation, they believe this bill would be okay. **REPRESENTATIVE FUCHS** asked if this other bill was ready. **REPRESENTATIVE WITT** said he was familiar with the other bill.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHMIDT asked if the Department considered making the changes to the definition in 15-10-420 when the bill was drafted. **Dolores Cooney** said this bill addressed the language about the 95% calculation. It still holds they have to pass to

the county and other taxing jurisdictions the taxable value of newly constructed property, but they did not address 15-10-420. **CHAIRMAN STORY** said that after the hearing today they could spend time talking about how SB 184 works so the committee members could understand how this works. He asked the Department of Revenue to provide a copy of that section of the law to the committee.

REPRESENTATIVE ERICKSON asked if there could be an explanation to the committee as to why there was the sentence about 95%. **Dolores Cooney** said this was part of the legislation with the aftermath by 105 with the idea it would give some extra cushion to the jurisdictions when they calculated their mill levies. Since that was put in statute, they have had numerous changes to property tax. This no longer has any bearing on how they calculate.

REPRESENTATIVE BALYEAT asked if there was a reason why the definition issue was not addressed in just one bill. **Dolores Cooney** stated this particular bill addressed only this section simplifying the mill levy calculation process that goes to local governments and jurisdictions. It contains the definition of newly taxable value, which was an outcrop of SB 184, so they were isolating this bill to simplify the information given to local governments.

REPRESENTATIVE FORRESTER asked Mr. Morris to give his explanation of the 95%. **Gordon Morris** said the 95% predates I105 and was part of the code that came into affect after the 1972 constitutional change. The Department is required to calculate the mill levies that can be set for any purpose based on using 95% of the newly certified value. That allows a ratchet effect for the property taxes that would be generated equivalent to the 105% rule, which is another statute in code. In other words, a county before I105 had the authority annually to increase their budgets by 105% and did it by virtue of certifying at 95% of the current value thereby getting that increase.

REPRESENTATIVE SCHMIDT asked if Dolores Cooney would like to respond to the last question. **Dolores Cooney** stated she thanked Mr. Morris for the clarification.

CHAIRMAN STORY said there is apparently a bill coming through to redefine newly taxable property, and in the interim committee with local government funding bill they are also looking at something that relates to this section of law regarding local governments being required to set their mill levy at a certain point in time. They are going to try to change that to a period after the Department certifies, because in the past cycle the Department did not get the mill levies certified until well past

the date. He asked why they did not put some language in the bill regarding that section of law requiring local governments to set their levies. **Dolores Cooney** said this was not looked at in writing this bill, as they just wanted to clean up the language. **CHAIRMAN STORY** asked if there were any bills addressing when local governments have to set their mill levies. **Dolores Cooney** said she would have to check and get back to the committee. **Gordon Morris** said "the big bill" does take care of this stating it is 45 days within receipt of the certification for the counties and local governments have to adopt their budget and set their levies. **CHAIRMAN STORY** said "the big bill" may never be here, but asked why those other cleanup issues that could be attached to bills like these are not dealt with so at least they are taken care of during this session in the smaller bills. **Gordon Morris** said he was not involved in drafting the bill.

REPRESENTATIVE FORRESTER asked Chairman Story if he was going to hold the bill until the committee sees the clarification in the definition under 15-10-420. **CHAIRMAN STORY** said he would have to see but did not see a problem moving this out of committee.

Closing by Sponsor:

{Tape : 1; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 42.2}

REPRESENTATIVE WITT closed by saying the counties are in favor of this. This is a good bill for both the counties and the Department of Revenue.

CHAIRMAN STORY asked Jeff Martin to speak about titles and how it relates to what can be put in a bill and how much this can be changed around. **Jeff Martin** responded that the issue Chairman Story was referring to is a single subject bill, and in this case it is narrowly defined with how the Department of Revenue reports actual value to counties. If they try to revise the definition of newly taxable, which is a different subject area, generally there needs to be language of revised taxation to expand the scope of the bill. **CHAIRMAN STORY** stated there are only certain things that can be amended into a bill, and you cannot amend into a bill things that are not somehow included in the title.

Kurt Alme finished his informational presentation. Informal questions were taken regarding this presentation. EXHIBIT(2)

CHAIRMAN STORY gave an explanation of 15-10-420. Informal discussion regarding this issue followed.

ADJOURNMENT

MINUTES

MONTANA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
57th LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION
COMMITTEE ON TAXATION

Call to Order: By **CHAIRMAN BOB STORY**, on January 19, 2001 at 8:00 A.M., in Room 472 Capitol.

ROLL CALL

Members Present:

Rep. Bob Story, Chairman (R)
Rep. Ron Erickson, Vice Chairman (D)
Rep. Roger Somerville, Vice Chairman (R)
Rep. Joan Andersen (R)
Rep. Keith Bales (R)
Rep. Joe Balyeat (R)
Rep. Gary Branae (D)
Rep. Eileen Carney (D)
Rep. Larry Cyr (D)
Rep. Rick Dale (R)
Rep. Ronald Devlin (R)
Rep. John Esp (R)
Rep. Gary Forrester (D)
Rep. Verdell Jackson (R)
Rep. Jesse Laslovich (D)
Rep. Trudi Schmidt (D)
Rep. Butch Waddill (R)
Rep. Karl Waitschies (R)

Members Excused: Rep. Daniel Fuchs (R)
Rep. David Wanzenried (D)

Members Absent: None.

Staff Present: Jeff Martin, Legislative Branch
Rhonda Van Meter, Committee Secretary

Please Note: These are summary minutes. Testimony and discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

Committee Business Summary:

Hearing(s) & Date(s) Posted: HB 273, 1/16/2001; HB 57, 1/16/2001
Executive Action: HB 192, HB 37

You cannot just buy or construct a house, so there would have to be other ways to use the money toward affording a home.

Closing by Sponsor:

{Tape : 2; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 64.2}

REP. ERICKSON said some concerns have been addressed by Bruce Brensdal in testimony. He hopes there can be conversations to amend this bill to address this. There is money there to spend, but a lot of people are going after the money, and the question is who will get the money. This is a way to help people in poverty to move into homes.

There was discussion on appointing a subcommittee for HB 143 regarding pass-through entities and volunteers were taken.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 37

{Tape : 2; Side : B; Approx. Time Counter : 4.1}

Motion: **REP. DALE** moved that **HB 37 DO PASS.**

Discussion:

EXHIBIT(7) **Jeff Martin** explained the amendments.

Motion: **REP. ERICKSON** moved that **AMENDMENTS DO PASS.**

Discussion:

REP. BALYEAT asked who proposed the amendments. **Jeff Martin** said these were brought to his attention by the Department of Revenue and discussed with the sponsor. **REP. BALYEAT** asked if the amended sections are meaningless. **Jeff Martin** read the section in question, and he said this was included because based on the certified mills from the Department, they would need to raise the levies about the certified millage. **CHAIRMAN STORY** asked for clarification on one of the amendment sections. **Jeff Martin** read and referred to the section for clarification. **REP. DALE** pointed out an incorrect page number change.

Vote: **Motion Carried Unanimously, 20-0.**

Motion/Vote: **REP. DALE** moved that **HB 37 DO PASS AS AMENDED. Motion carried 20-0.**

HB 23 SPONSOR: Erickson, Ron SHORT TITLE: Generally revise local government debt limits and certain bonding provisions
BY REQUEST OF: Revenue And Taxation Interim Committee
REFERRED ON: 01/18/2001 HEARD ON: 02/01/2001
EXECUTIVE ACTION: 02/06/2001 -Bill Concurred -- VOTE: 9 - 0
FINAL DISPOSITION: 03/02/2001 Chapter Number Assigned

HB 24 SPONSOR: Erickson, Ron SHORT TITLE: Revise debt limits of public schools
BY REQUEST OF: Revenue And Taxation Interim Committee
REFERRED ON: 01/18/2001 HEARD ON: 02/01/2001
EXECUTIVE ACTION: 02/01/2001 -Bill Concurred -- VOTE: 8 - 0
FINAL DISPOSITION: 02/14/2001 Chapter Number Assigned

HB 36 SPONSOR: Gallik, Dave SHORT TITLE: Revise cabin site lease valuation appeal
BY REQUEST OF: State Tax Appeal Board
REFERRED ON: 01/16/2001 HEARD ON: 01/30/2001
EXECUTIVE ACTION: 01/30/2001 -Bill Concurred -- VOTE: 9 - 0
FINAL DISPOSITION: 02/14/2001 Chapter Number Assigned

HB 37 SPONSOR: Witt, John SHORT TITLE: Revise mill levy certification
BY REQUEST OF: Department Of Revenue
REFERRED ON: 01/24/2001 HEARD ON: 02/06/2001
EXECUTIVE ACTION: 02/06/2001 -Bill Concurred -- VOTE: 9 - 0
FINAL DISPOSITION: 03/01/2001 Chapter Number Assigned

HB 57 SPONSOR: Erickson, Ron SHORT TITLE: Transfer $0.5 million from sec. 8 housing to afford. housing revolving account
BY REQUEST OF: Revenue And Taxation Interim Committee
REFERRED ON: 03/21/2001
FINAL DISPOSITION: 04/30/2001 Chapter Number Assigned

HB 121 SPONSOR: Mood, Douglas SHORT TITLE: Increase per-ANB and basic entitlements for public schools
BY REQUEST OF: Governor
REFERRED ON: 03/30/2001 HEARD ON: 04/04/2001
EXECUTIVE ACTION: 04/09/2001 -Bill Concurred as Amended -- VOTE: 8 - 0
FINAL DISPOSITION: 05/03/2001 Chapter Number Assigned

MINUTES

MONTANA SENATE
57th LEGISLATURE - REGULAR SESSION
COMMITTEE ON TAXATION

Call to Order: By **CHAIRMAN BOB DEPRATU**, on February 6, 2001 at 8:00 A.M., in Room 405 Capitol.

ROLL CALL

Members Present:
Sen. Bob DePratu, Chairman (R)
Sen. Alvin Ellis Jr., Vice Chairman (R)
Sen. John C. Bohlinger (R)
Sen. Mack Cole (R)
Sen. Pete Ekegren (R)
Sen. Jon Ellingson (D)
Sen. Bill Glaser (R)
Sen. Dan Harrington (D)
Sen. Emily Stonington (D)

Members Excused: None.

Members Absent: None.

Staff Present: Lee Heiman, Legislative Branch
Deb Thompson, Committee Secretary

Please Note: These are summary minutes. Testimony and discussion are paraphrased and condensed.

Committee Business Summary:

Hearing(s) & Date(s) Posted:	House Bill 37, 1/31/2001; Senate Bill 258, 1/31/2001; House Bill 192, 1/31/2001
Executive Action:	House Bill 37 Pass 9-0; Senate Bill 281 Pass 9-0; House Bill 23 Pass 9-0; Senate Bill 258 Hold 1 amendment passed; Senate Bill 264 Hold 2 amendments passed

HEARING ON HOUSE BILL 37

Sponsor: **REPRESENTATIVE JOHN WITT, HD 89, CHOTEAU**

Proponents: Dolores Cooney, Department of Revenue; Gordon Morris, MACO; Harold Blatties, Stillwater County Commissioner

Opponents: None

Opening Statement by Sponsor: **REP. WITT** described the legislation to the committee. He said the bill would update the codes to help local governments establish their mill levies and be in compliance with all the appropriate statutes. ***{Tape : 1; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 0 - 3.3}***

Proponents' Testimony: **Dolores Cooney**, representing the Department of Revenue, spoke in support of the bill. The bill was at the request of the department. She explained the bill was a housekeeping measure. It does eliminate work that was required by the department to perform in the certification of the mill levy process. It previously contained archaic language in reference to certifying the mill levy. This bill narrows and provides that the department provide to local government the two things they need, which is taxable value and newly taxable value. ***{Tape : 1; Side : A; Approx. Time Counter : 3.3 - 4.8}***

Gordon Morris, representing the Montana Association of Counties, spoke in favor of the bill.

Harold Blatties, Stillwater County Commissioner, spoke in favor of the bill. He described the unnecessary work that was required by the old statute.

Opponents' Testimony: None

Questions from Committee Members and Responses: **SENATOR COLE** asked how the sections became antiquated. **Dolores Cooney** replied that I-105 was when it began. Previous to that, local government were allowed to increase their millage 5%. This has been non-usable for some time.

Closing by Sponsor: **REPRESENTATIVE WITT** closed. **SENATOR COLE** agreed to carry the bill.

HEARING ON HOUSE BILL 192

Sponsor: **REPRESENTATIVE DOUG MOOD, HD 58**

Proponents: **John Lawrence Ashmore**

Opponents: None

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON SENATE BILL 281

CHAIRMAN DEPRATU noted this bill was for notification for those entities who were taking tax liens on individuals properties. He said **Lee Heiman** had prepared an amendment that was acceptable to **SENATOR MAHLUM.**

SENATOR GLASER MOVED THE AMENDMENT #28101. EXHIBIT(8) He pointed out the problem this would create for the counties to publish the whole list without this amendment. The question was called on the amendment. The motion was adopted unanimously.

Lee Heiman said there was one more amendment requested by the Montana Taxpayer Association that he had prepared, #28102. **EXHIBIT(9) EXHIBIT(10)** He explained it gave the Department of Revenue rule making authority to provide notice.

SENATOR GLASER MOVED THE AMENDMENT #28013 (combined version). The question was called. The motion was adopted unanimously.

SENATOR GLASER MOVED THE BILL AS AMENDED. CHAIRMAN DEPRATU pointed out this was one way to handle the elderly home owner to give them one more notice.

The question was called. The motion **PASSED** unanimously.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HOUSE BILL 37

SENATOR STONINGTON MOVED HB 37. The question was called. The motion **PASSED** unanimously.